

REMARKS

The specification and claims have been carefully reviewed in the light of the Office Action to which this amendment is responsive. By this amendment, an effort has been made to improve the form of independent claim 35 consistent with 35 U.S. § 112 and to satisfy the informalities noted by the Examiner with respect to claims 39-42.

Claim 35 as now presented calls for the nozzle housing to be formed with at least one nozzle orifice of a first form for discharging a predetermined fluid spray from the rotatable housing as an incident to the direction of pressurized fluid through the nozzle housing. The claim calls for the at least one nozzle orifice of the first form to be defined by peripheral walls which open outwardly of the housing but not non-radially in the direction of rotation of the housing. In the illustrated embodiment, nozzle orifices 17a and b are defined boundaries that extend radially of the nozzle housing, as depicted in FIG. 3 of the drawings and described in the specification, (page 7, lines 6-7). The orifices 17a, b, in contrast to the breaking discharge opening 18, do not extend non-radially in the direction of rotation of the housing. Hence, claim 35 is generic to a nozzle housing having a discharge orifice of a first form which extends either (1) radially of the housing or (2) outwardly of housing non-radially in a direction opposite to the direction of rotation of the nozzle housing (*i.e.*, in a direction which would accelerate nozzle rotation).

Claim 35 further calls for a breaking discharge opening having walls that open outwardly of the housing non-radially in the direction of rotation of the nozzle housing for directing liquid outwardly of the housing in a non-radial direction retarding rotation of the housing. This feature of the claimed nozzle assembly again is disclosed in FIG. 3 of the drawings and described on page 7, lines 17-21.

In re Appln. of Feller et al.
Application No. 09/785,9620

Hence, claim 35 is believed to be fully supported by the original disclosure of the application as filed. Since the claims have not been rejected over the prior art, and are otherwise believed to be in condition for allowance, an early action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Dennis R. Schlemmer, Reg. No. 24,703
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.
Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900
180 North Stetson
Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780
(312) 616-5600 (telephone)
(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: April 12, 2004